

**Disclaimer:**

*By reading this call transcript you agree to be bound as follows: This earnings call with the management of One 97 Communications Limited (“Company”) is for information purposes only without regards to specific objectives, financial situations or needs of any particular person and is not and nothing in it shall be construed as an invitation, offer, solicitation, recommendation or advertisement in respect of the purchase or sale of any securities of the Company or any affiliates in any jurisdiction or as an inducement to enter into investment activity and no part of it shall form the basis of or be relied upon in connection with any contract or commitment or investment decision whatsoever. This earnings call does not take into account, nor does it provide any tax, legal or investment advice or opinion regarding the specific investment objectives or financial situation of any person. The information to be presented and discussed on this earnings call is confidential and proprietary to the Company and/or its affiliates and no part of it or its subject matter be used, recorded, reproduced, copied, distributed, shared, or disseminated, directly or indirectly, to any other person or published in whole or in part for any purpose, in any manner whatsoever.*

*Statements or comments made on this earnings call may include certain statements that are, or may be deemed to be, “forward-looking statements” and relate to the Company and its financial position, business strategy, events and courses of action. Forward-looking statements and financial projections are based on the opinions and estimates of management at the date the statements are made and are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements and financial projections.*

*We, or any of our affiliates, shareholders, directors, employees, or advisors, as such, make no representations or warranties, express or implied, as to, and do not accept any responsibility or liability with respect to, the fairness, accuracy, completeness or correctness of any information or opinions contained herein and accept no liability whatsoever for any loss, howsoever, arising from any use or reliance on the information presented and discussed in this earnings call. The information contained herein is subject to change without any obligation to notify any person of such revisions or change and past performance is not indicative of future results.*

*It is clarified that this earnings call, and the information discussed and presented herein, is not intended to be an offer for subscription or sale of any securities or inviting offers or invitations to offer or solicitation to offer from the public (including any section thereof) or any class of investors. No rights or obligations of any nature are created or shall be deemed to be created by the information presented and discussed on this earnings call. This document has not been and will not be reviewed or approved by a regulatory authority in India or by any stock exchange in India. No rights or obligations of any nature are created or shall be deemed to be created by the contents of this document.*

---

**Moderator:** Thank you for joining and welcome to Paytm's earnings call to discuss our financial results for the quarter ending on 31st of December, 2024. We will start our call with a Q&A after introduction to the management. If you seek to ask a question, kindly utilize the Raise Hand feature on your zoom dashboard. Please ensure that your name is visible as your name, last name followed by your company name for us to be able to identify you. From Paytm management, we have with us Mr. Vijay Shekhar Sharma, Founder and CEO; Mr. Madhur Deora, President and Group CFO and Mr. Anuj Mittal, SVP, Investor Relations. A few standard announcements before we begin. The information to be presented and discussed here should not be recorded, reproduced or distributed in any manner. Some statements made today, may be forward looking in nature. Actual events may materially differ from those anticipated in such forward looking statements. Finally, a replay of this earnings call and transcript will be made available on the company's website subsequently. We will start our Q&A now. We will unmute your line and take questions from the raised hands. The first question is from Pranav Gundlapalle, from Bernstein. Pranav, you may ask your question now.

**Mr. Pranav Gundlapalle:** Hey. Good evening. Thanks for taking my question. Two questions are, one is, in terms of your GMV, what percent of the transactions would be cases where Paytm is on the merchant side versus those where the app is on the consumer side? I'm just trying to reconcile the consistent growth in GMV, versus the loss in overall UPI market share. Just trying to see if there's a difference in the consumer market share versus merchant market share. So that's the first question. I'll come back to the second.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Thanks, Pranav. We actually have a little bit of disclosure in the back of our earnings release, which talks about the number of transactions, and it also says, merchant transactions. So, you can see, in December 2024, we had 1,000 crore merchant transactions and we had 1,232 crore total transactions. Now it is the case that in merchant transactions, some of them might also be our consumers. But if you just look at how many transactions we are doing for merchants, that is in the disclosure in the back, I think it's fair to say that if you take a year on year view, then the merchant side of the business has grown, whereas the consumer side of the business, even adjusted for discontinued businesses, has been flat or slightly de-grown.

**Mr. Pranav Gundlapalle:** Understood. That's helpful. Thanks. And second, could you just share some color on the RuPay on UPI transactions and what it could mean for the payment margins if it increases significantly from here?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Yeah. So, as I think the gist of the question on RuPay on UPI we do make MDR, unlike bank linked UPI, but it is still a very small percentage growing fast, but it's still a very small percentage of the merchant transactions right now. So as that grows, that does add another monetization lever for us.

**Mr. Vijay Shekhar Sharma:** Pranav, I'll also add one extra line that, we get extra bps, which is significant extra bps and it does include a payment margin between other instrument versus RuPay credit card and we do believe that credit card running on QR gives us, as an acquiring side, much larger revenue than on the consumer side, because consumer side, you don't get larger revenue, even though there is some bps that is paid to the consumer app also. But the acquiring side gets disproportionately more valuable and useful there, number one. Number two, I did this survey. I asked my team to do a survey that, we saw a couple of million new customers added. I said, why did you sign up so fast without any marketing,

advertising? And, our QR being present, our brand being present on ground was one of the big, actually, number two trigger. First was literally that they wanted to try out Paytm once again before they got to know in the press and then second was that I saw the QR code. So, our acquiring will add it to the consumer acquisition is what our belief is and that is why we will aggressively expand on our merchant acquiring, because that gives us an edge in consumer also. So, there is a little bit of flywheel that we are seeing here, and that is probably one of the reasons that we didn't see a large number of exodus on either side.

**Mr. Pranav Gundlapalle:** Great. Thank you. Thanks a lot for the answers.

**Moderator:** Thank you Pranav. Our next question is from Sachin Dixit of JM financial. Sachin, you may ask your question now.

**Mr. Sachin Dixit:** And thanks for the opportunity. So my first question is basically with regard to DLG and the mix within merchant loans. So we did some maths around the AUM numbers that were shared and somewhat we are coming up with almost 80% of merchant loans in this quarter came from DLG sort of arrangement. Are we directionally in range? Secondly, is this the right steady state or a number or mix from DLG that we are hoping for, or we are trying to optimize for a certain number there?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** That number is in the ballpark, Sachin. And, give or take a few percent, I think that's probably right. Like we have said, we think DLG is a good model, non-DLG is also a good model, so relative to each other, we are quite indifferent. If a partner thinks that it is easier for them to do business, of lending to merchants, in a DLG model, or if they can do more business as a result, then we are quite open to doing DLG based business with them. So, we don't really have a target number in that sense, but yeah, ballpark it should be in that range.

**Mr. Sachin Dixit:** And you are seeing interest from more lending partners on the same model I'm guessing.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Yeah. So that's right. So we're seeing more interest from lending partners in general across MC as well as personal loans. MC in particular has been a product that has done consistently very, very well for us and for our partners, so we are seeing more interest in that. And yes, within that, there are some partners who are more interested in DLG models, and there are some partners for whom it doesn't really make much of a difference.

**Mr. Sachin Dixit:** Sounds good. My second question is in regards to the plan in international. So I see that there are some subsidiaries that we are looking to put in place. Is the plan there to do largely UPI sort of enablement? Some of these countries also have UPI extension that has happened, or we are planning to build an entire merchant ecosystem sort of thing like we have in India, in these countries?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Vijay will.

**Mr. Vijay Shekhar Sharma:** Yeah, Sachin I'll take that, and, the intent here is to first go on the merchant side, because merchant side business model is a very long term business model and every economy and geography that I'm meeting different, different senior executives in either the central bank or government, love it because SME credit is missing everywhere. So, if you can solve for payment and

solve for future forward receivable, that business model is a template in my opinion and our opinion, technology wise, capability wise, we've demonstrated at scale. So, our primary plan will be that.

**Mr. Sachin Dixit:** Got it. Thank you and all the best.

**Mr. Vijay Shekhar Sharma:** Thank you sir.

**Moderator:** Thank you Sachin. The next question is from Alok Srivastava of UBS. Alok, you may please ask your question.

**Mr. Alok Srivastava:** Yeah hi. Thanks, Pranav. So I have this question on the DLG model that, ECL number that we have given that number has come down to 4.5% to 5.0% versus 4.75% to 5.25%. So, how are we measuring these numbers? We are measuring these numbers or lenders are sharing these numbers?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** These numbers are based on our collection data, so there's a couple of things, right. So one is, when the lenders put a program in place, they have, in their program, an expected credit loss and in our conversations with lenders, we ensure one of the questions that we obviously touch base on is whether the program is within the bounds of their expected credit loss. But the specific data that we put out, including the bucket wise resolution, is based on our collection data. As you know, in merchant loans, we help our partners with the vast majority of collections, because these are active merchants of ours, so basis that we have a sense of how this is trending towards expected credit loss.

**Mr. Alok Srivastava:** So, Madhur, sequentially, the decline would also be because of DLG that we are doing and earlier it was largely the normal one that we were doing or sequentially there has been change in some underwriting standards and so on.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** So, DLG does not affect the ECL. So just to clarify, the ECL of the portfolio, where our loss guarantees are versus where the partner's losses and probations are, is independent of how ECL is measured. The DLG book is doing at least as well, in fact, slightly better than the rest of our book and that's just sometimes some books do better, sometimes some books do, slightly worse. So, there is a, I wouldn't necessarily draw a pattern there, and, the reason for improvement is generally collection efficiencies, obviously with a lag, it is also indicative that your underwriting is getting tighter. I would just also add that, at the beginning of 2024, we had some, you know, sort of a little bit elevated churn amongst our merchants, so, that may have affected some of the ECL as well. But as the business has stabilized and growing and our partners are, our merchant partners are growing very well with us, we are seeing improvements in collection efficiency, as well as a lot of the work that we have done to bring even greater amounts of sort of efficacy to our collection practices.

**Mr. Alok Srivastava:** Sure Madhur. Thanks. That's very helpful. I have a couple of more clarifications on FLDG. So, FLDG number that we shared last time that we are doing less than 5%, does that still hold true? That's one. Secondly, all the DLG costs which are upfronted, are they fully captured in the other direct cost? And also, if there is any unutilized DLG which may happen a year from now, where will it show up in P&L? Will it be adjusted against cost or will it become part of revenue?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** So, first question, correct. On a blended basis, our DLG cost is significantly lower than the 5%, and it has broadly remained the same as last quarter. On your second question, yes, so far,

all the DLGs that we have given, we provisioned them immediately in that quarter. And that is in other direct expenses, even though the DLG invocation by the partner is obviously with a lag, as and when GCL is hit. And the third is that if we do have a situation where we have provisions which are not getting used, then it would be a reversal in that cost.

**Mr. Alok Srivastava:** Okay. Got it. Thanks a lot, Madhur. All the best.

**Moderator:** Thank you Alok. The next question is from Piran Engineer at CLSA. Piran, you may please ask your question. Yeah.

**Mr. Piran Engineer:** Yeah, thank you and congrats on the quarter. Just firstly, to clarify on one of the previous questions, is it... is DLG given only on merchant loans or on both?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** There is a small amount of DLG that we have given personal loans as well with select lenders only in the collection model, obviously not in the distribution only model.

**Mr. Piran Engineer:** Correct. Okay. Fair enough. So, it was 80% of just the merchant loans that are disbursed through DLG.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** That's right.

**Mr. Piran Engineer:** Okay. Fair enough. And just secondly, if you can give us a sense of how many merchants currently have a loan outstanding from, you know, from you?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** It is broadly, five to six lakh merchants who have a loan outstanding. So, it is roughly 4-5% of our device base.

**Mr. Piran Engineer:** Okay. Fair enough. And, that can go to what sort of a number in your opinion, this five to six lakhs? I am just trying to think about, you know, the medium term growth rate here.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Yeah. We, in the sort of, I would say 2 to 3 years period, I think we definitely have an opportunity of increasing the percentage penetration, to maybe 10 to 15%. One of the things that I should point out is that that is one, only one of the three drivers of the overall growth in, merchant loan volumes and revenues. The other two drivers are that over time, the ticket sizes have increased and they continue to increase. So, I remember three years ago this number was about 1,10,000. Currently the number is about 2 lakhs. And part of that is because the value per merchant has grown in Paytm, because the merchants obviously are doing a lot more digital payments today than they were doing three years ago, especially our best merchants, to whom the loans are sort of qualified for. And the second is a large percentage of the loans are repeat loans, where our lending partners have greater confidence because that merchant has already shown good behavior of taking a loan and repaying the loan. So, that's the second driver. And the third driver is obviously the growth in the base of merchants, which is, you know, obviously grown by basically doubled in the last two years. And we still see a huge runway ahead. Right. So over the last couple of years, we have actually kept the penetration percentage relatively flat, despite the fact that the volume of disbursement has gone up very, very meaningfully. So, we're going to continue to be cautious. We're going to continue to have a tight whitelist. But I would say

over the next two, three years, we have an opportunity of taking this to north of 10%. And I think the long term opportunity is probably even higher than that.

**Mr. Piran Engineer:** Got it. And Madhur, just when you increase the ticket size, does the tenure also correspondingly increase, or has that remained at that 12 to 18 months?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** The tenure decision is independent of whether you're increasing the ticket size, so, if you have more confidence in the stability of the merchant, then the partner may increase the tenure as well, right? So, yes, it is the case that for select merchants for a repeat loan, the tenure might have gone up, but you don't increase the tenure just to increase the loan size. You look at how much you think you can collect per day from the merchant, and that is one of the variables that goes into the loan size. And then you look at how stable the merchant is with you, and that sort of decides what the maximum tenure you are willing to do. And those two things sort of effectively end up in terms of what is the maximum size of loan that you would be willing to do. So, those are slightly independent. The tenure has not grown very much because, again, that is a conservative assumption that we take, which is that we don't want to increase our loan sizes and loan book just by increasing tenure and then, you know, fundamentally changing the nature of what we are trying to do here. If the merchant is a good merchant, they can take a loan 12, 13 months later, they would have repaid that loan, and at some point towards the back end of that journey or after repaying the loan, they are eligible for another loan, right. So, the merchant with good behaviour can have access to credit. Instead of saying, hey, give me a super long loan.

**Mr. Piran Engineer:** Got it. Okay. Fair enough. And just my last question, just a clarification. On page six, you mentioned that your payment processing margins was, comfortably above the guided three bps margin. But, you know, sort of when I, when I just assume, say, ₹90 or ₹100 rental income per device, the margin, the residual margin comes to about two and a half bps. So what am I missing here? Is my rental income assumption incorrect or what?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** While we can go through your math offline, if you don't mind, but, yes, your rental assumption is slightly higher than what our actual is. But it still doesn't quite get me to two and a half bps, so I can, I can, Anuj or I can look at.

**Mr. Piran Engineer:** Fine. We'll take care of it. Yeah, yeah. That's fair. Cool. That's it from my end. Thank you and wish you all the best.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Thank you so much, Piran.

**Moderator:** Thank you so much. Thank you Piran. The next question is from Subhranshu Mishra. Subhranshu you may ask your question.

**Mr. Subhranshu Mishra:** Hi. Two questions. The first one is around the associates, various associates that we have. Someone in Ivory Coast and various other African countries. So, I was just curious, why do we have so many associates where we are probably not in business right now. Second is, this particular

international expansion, what is the total market size that these countries have and what kind of market share we are targeting there? Thanks.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** On the first question, we do have many subsidiaries, actually, direct, or step down subsidiaries in the Middle East, Southeast Asia, South Asia and Africa. Nearly none of them have to do with Paytm's business. They have to do with the old One97 business, which is a part of what we disclose as marketing services, where we provide services generally to telecom operators. And this is a business that One97 has been doing for over 20 years. And in many of these countries, there's a requirement that in order to build those local telecom operators, we have to have a local subsidiary. We are in the process of rationalizing these number of subsidiaries because some of these were set up many, many, many years ago, and we may have little or no business in some of these countries. So, over the next 3 to 6 months, we'll look to see if we can reduce the number of subsidiaries. In terms of international expansion, we have mentioned three particular subsidiaries, two in the Middle East and one in Southeast Asia. We think that the opportunity in the Middle East and Southeast Asia is massive and many of these countries need more and more solutions and distribution in the merchant ecosystem. We have been able to build great technology, at very low cost, in India, and we think we can service the merchants here really well. But we are going to, like you said, explore select opportunities. Generally speaking, opportunities in countries where there is a large local market.

**Mr. Vijay Shekhar Sharma:** So, we should also say that it is very, very far ahead. So, don't misunderstand it to be shown up in a quarter or two for sure because these are entity formation, license application, operating. So, let's just please understand that it will take some time.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Yeah, I should mention that we have said, we are exploring these things. Setting up a subsidiary has a long lead time from setting up a subsidiary to, in some cases getting licenses and then eventually, you know, having products launched and merchants signed up and then starting to generate revenue and profit. The good thing is that these are largely B2B businesses, so they don't have large upfront spend, which is why we have said that to begin with, we are saying up to ₹20 crores of investment in each of these markets, which is also a bit of a placeholder number, we don't really think that we need large amounts of money in these countries.

**Mr. Subhranshu Mishra:** Sure. Can I just squeeze in one last question?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Sure.

**Mr. Subhranshu Mishra:** Sure, so what are our targets for personal loan disbursement on a monthly basis and merchant loan disbursement on a monthly basis for FY 26?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** We are in the process of concluding this but I could answer that directionally. So, on the personal loans, it is very market dependent, if I had to be honest, because we absolutely respect the fact that our partners are being very cautious, through the cycle. And, this is unsecured digital PL, and the partners want to just make sure that this reflects their view on the credit cycle and, especially at this point in the credit cycle, one should be cautious. So, I would say, honestly, it's hard to say where we will exit, for example, if you said March 2026. Right. What is a good number, what's not a good number. I think the way we are measuring this business right now is how many partners are doing meaningful

business with us. So, that's what we are trying to drive at this point in the cycle. And then when the partners have a more growth mindset in terms of their PL book, we think we can be a beneficiary of that. On the merchant loan side, we expect continuously steady growth. Currently we are already higher than where we were in January and we expect continuous steady growth, largely because of what I said in response to Piran's question earlier. I think it was Piran's question where we talked about medium term penetration, there is upside as well as the base is growing and the ticket sizes continue to inch upwards on a blended basis.

**Mr. Subhranshu Mishra:** Sure. Thanks. Cheers.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Thank you Subhranshu.

**Moderator:** Thank you so much. The next question is from Anand Dama of Emkay. Anand, you may please ask your question.

**Mr. Anand Dama:** Hi, thank you for the opportunity and congrats for a great set of numbers. My question again is related to PL disbursement only. Do we expect that to bottom out soon that now you started offering, you know DLG and PL as well. And in FY26, you may not give a number but should be better versus FY25 if things go positive.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Yeah, we definitely expect, I mean, unless there are big changes in and unless the FY26 macro looks worse than FY25, we do expect that FY26 will be better than FY25. And especially given that we are going to have additional partners, going into FY26, we do expect an increase in this business and a rebound from the lows that we saw earlier this year.

**Mr. Anand Dama:** And DLG and the PL business could be on the higher side versus the merchant loans. Is that safe to assume?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Not necessarily. No. I think in PL business the DLGs, on a blended basis are lower than 5%.

**Mr. Anand Dama:** And is there any update on the non PL, non merchant loan products that we were actually planning to launch?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Vijay, do you want to talk about that? Non PL non personal loan, so, this might be referring to uh..

**Mr. Vijay Shekhar Sharma:** What kind of other product are we talking about here?

**Mr. Anand Dama:** So, basically any home loan or you know mortgages is what basically we were talking about earlier on. So, is there any update over there like?

**Mr. Vijay Shekhar Sharma:** No, they're very low margin. They increase the line expenditure on us and we have not been able to find a commercially logical reason. The CAC was, I mean, the opportunity cost of executing that versus other things, I'm not yet a big fan, to be honest about it, of those loans, but we did try them out, but we sort of receded from that.



**Mr. Anand Dama:** And I think on the net payment margin, you said that the subscription revenue is still doing well. Are all the device merchants now paying you full rental, or there are still some merchants where you are waiving the rent because you know, you want them to gradually pick it up.

**Mr. Vijay Shekhar Sharma:** So, there are certain merchants who get rent refunds based on certain volumes and features, always now or later nahi hai ye, hamesha hai.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** So, we don't give waivers basis an activity. We give waivers basis, if you're doing a large amount of volume with us then we give that, which is what Vijay is referring to as a refund. So there are programs that we would run that if you do very large volumes with us, then you don't have to pay the subscription and the logic is that those merchants are great merchants in lending eventually and also, we make UPI incentives.

**Mr. Anand Dama:** Sure. If I can basically squeeze one more question, is on your capex. You said that basically a large part of the devices, you are actually refurbishing and supplying it. How long do you see that capex will remain so low, and any guidance on requisitions?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Yeah, I think that that is one of the few factors that is an important factor for sure, but it's also that the cost of the device has also come down as we are ordering at large scale and so on. So we don't expect the capex to go back to FY24 levels, and, we think that the refurbishment, sort of savings on capex due to refurbishment, should generally be the case over the next two, three quarters, that this number is not something that we actively manage on a month on month basis or quarter on quarter basis. So, that might go up and down a bit. But refurbishment supply is there for the next sort of two, three quarters. And even in FY26, we don't expect the capex to go back to FY24 levels. On depreciation, I mean, I don't want to give a specific guidance other than to say that as the high capex of FY24 relative to FY25, as that starts to, sort of roll off, because these are two years depreciation for sandbox, then we should see a reduction in capex, sorry, reduction in depreciation. And we're already starting to see some of that, but we should see that just sort of accelerate a bit next year.

**Mr. Anand Dama:** That's brilliant. Thanks a lot.

**Moderator:** Thank you Anand. The next question is from Manish Shukla of Axis. Manish, you may please ask your question.

**Mr. Manish Shukla:** Yeah. Good evening and thank you for the opportunity. Now that you started giving DLG, as a lender what is an incentive for a lender to do a non DLG loan with you?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** I think these are sort of good faith discussions that one does. Some lenders say, I don't really need DLG because it doesn't really help me. I'm comfortable doing it in a non DLG model. I really quite liked the model earlier of you keep the sourcing fee or I pay you a sourcing fee and then eventually you get a collection incentive in the end, versus some lenders say that, hey, it's kind of important for us, it will help us scale the business, right. So, these are good faith discussions. If one had to not think of win-win and they just had to think about, hey, why not just take the DLG, then I can see where your question is coming from. But that's generally not the tone of the discussion. Generally, the tone is this and we are comfortable doing X amount. But yeah, it might be helpful for us to have FLDG and we can do more. And on the basis of that, one sort of agrees on a certain model.

**Mr. Manish Shukla:** Okay. The cash that you got from PayPay stake sale, which is sitting in the Singapore entity, what is the proposed end use of that money?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** We're still working on that. The cash is sitting overseas. And, obviously cash is fungible. If we needed cash in India, then we would have brought it back, but we don't need this cash in India. We have over ₹10,000 crores of cash in India. So we will work this out over the next couple of quarters, whether there is an overseas use of funds of this money or whether we should bring that back to India. Most likely, eventually we'll bring it back to India in some form.

**Mr. Manish Shukla:** And if you were to get it to India, would there be tax implications?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** We're still working through the tax implications of this.

**Mr. Manish Shukla:** Okay. Next question on contribution margin. Where do you think it stabilizes, going ahead? I mean, you've been really tightening your belt on expenses. But I'm just trying to understand what is the steady state contribution margin, what does it look like?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Yeah. So, we've talked about the contribution margin without UPI incentives is at 50 to 55%. So 50 to 55% and including UPI incentive for this to be 55 to 60%. So we continue to believe it will be in that range. We do see, and I should just point out, that the contribution margin last quarter, in this quarter, particularly this quarter, has been impacted by DLG costs, which comes in other direct expenses. And from last quarter to this quarter, it ramped up because last quarter, we did it in the middle of the quarter, with our largest merchant lending partner. So this quarter, the number was much higher. Not because we were giving more DLG as a percentage, but because of the full quarter impact. So as that number sort of plateaus a bit, relative to our overall disbursal, we should see an uptick in contribution margin, just based on that factor alone.

**Mr. Manish Shukla:** But Madhur, you're also making a corresponding collection fee upfront as well. So, there is a revenue impact also on the DLG, not just the cost.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** So, what we make upfront is still the sourcing fee. What I said in the last call is that the trail revenue is significantly higher, right. So, there are two impacts, one is the trail revenue is significantly higher, and I know there are a couple of questions about sort of the lending take rate, which has gone up meaningfully, partly because of this, not only because of this, but partly because of this. And second is, the DLG cost has gone up meaningfully this quarter compared to last quarter, but over time it plateaus. So, what happens is, if we have given X amount of loans under DLG, then that starts to generate the trail income over the next three, four or five quarters, whereas the DLG cost is not going up meaningfully.

**Mr. Manish Shukla:** Sorry to squeeze in then, what really explains the jump in take rate if you can just help us, the delta of 7 to 9%.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** It's partly the trail revenue from the previous quarter, as well as a little bit of loans given this quarter. So, whatever you gave in October, November, you have higher trail revenue. And second, these collection efficiencies have continued to improve like we mentioned earlier, which means that in our, if you will, old non FLDG book, we are getting higher collection revenues than we did before.

**Mr. Manish Shukla:** Got it. Thank you. Those are my questions. Yeah.

**Moderator:** Thank you. The next question is from Rahul Jain of Dolat Capital. Rahul, you may please ask your question.

**Mr. Rahul Jain:** Yeah. Hi. Thanks for the opportunity. So, if you could help me out, what is the ideal revenue run-rate of the non-lending part of financial services? And what could be the ideal gross take rate on DLG part of MCA book.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Sorry, what was the second part of the question Rahul?

**Mr. Rahul Jain:** So what is the gross take rate on the DLG part of the MCA book?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** The gross take rate over the lifetime of a loan in the DLG business, so if you remember earlier, we used to talk about 3 to 4% on sourcing and 1 to 2% on collections, right. So, to that you can add the FLDG amount. So, let's say the FLDG amount was 3.5% or 4%. You can effectively add that in order to get a gross take rate. But to be clear, most of that is not in the quarter of disbursement. So, in the quarter of disbursement it would be the sourcing fee, which we have talked about is 3 to 4%. And the collection revenue effectively goes up by the amount of FLDG cost that we have incurred. Does that directly answer your question?

**Mr. Rahul Jain:** Yeah, yeah. So, essentially we should reach 8-9% on a trailing basis, that is how the maths would eventually work.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Yeah, broadly. That's right. It mostly depends on the FLDG cost and depends on various other things, but that's probably broadly right. It can be a bit higher than that because. So that is the model we should talk about, like we have mentioned in the last couple of quarters that collection efficiencies on MC has gone up. So that 1 to 2% that we had indicated we make on a trail basis, that number is also inching upwards. So yes, but broadly the math that you did was right. Second is on non-financial services. Sorry... non lending revenue in financial services. I don't want to give you an exact number because that we think is a slightly additional breakup, but broadly it has been 10 to 20% of our financial services revenue almost consistently over the last 8 or 9 quarters. So, when lending revenues have gone down, like they did in Q1 and Q2, then it was a little bit higher. And currently, as lending revenues are recovering, then it's a little bit lower. But it's been in the 10 to 20% range.

**Mr. Rahul Jain:** Got it. And just last one more question. Given the kind of, efficiencies that we have displayed on the indirect cost side, and our commentary on the depreciation side and of course, the mounting cash position, and cash generation expectation in the coming quarters, and probably we might have achieved adjusted EBITDA breakeven at least on an exit month basis, if not for the quarter, so, is there any specific goalpost that we are aiming for, going into next fiscal or calendar, whatever?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** So, I think just laying this out, like we said, we're very close to EBITDA before ESOP profitability. This is without UPI incentive just to point out. So, for example, if we got this quarter's share of UPI incentive in this quarter, then we would already be profitable. But obviously the sort of phasing of that works a certain way. And, what is also going on when we look at EBITDA to PAT is that our interest income is going up, largely because we have more cash than we did before. Our depreciation is going

down, as we discussed earlier, and our ESOP costs are going down, as we have disclosed in the back of the earnings release. So as a result, the gap between EBITDA before ESOP and PAT is going down very meaningfully, I think in 2 or 3 quarters that gap will be basically zero. So, just mathematically, we're going to get very close to, we're going to get to PAT profitability, once EBITDA before ESOP is, is profitable either in, you know, maybe in the next 1 or 2 quarters, sequentially. So that's obviously very clear, I wouldn't even say a target, that's just something that we are just sort of marching towards, but eventually the point is that we want to get very efficient as an organization. We want to drive higher revenue growth. We have had, obviously some headwinds with respect to, where we are in the sort of credit cycle and personal loans and so on, and which is fine. I think one just has to be cautious and build great long-term businesses. And as we start to get that revenue growth, a lot of the work that we have done this year on becoming more efficient should translate into fantastic operating leverage. And so while EBITDA breakeven and PAT breakeven are milestones, but obviously that's not the goal. The goal is to have, double digit EBITDA margin relatively soon and then have that translate into a substantial amount of PAT.

**Mr. Rahul Jain:** Understood. So the first goal, as you rightly said, is, the difference between adjusted EBITDA and PAT would vanish in a couple of quarters, maybe, Q4, Q1 and then, the double digit EBITDA margin, probably 4 to 6 quarters from that point. And, from a growth point of view, as you rightly said, that we have right sized the business, in various aspects. So, what should be a good benchmark for growth on a 3 to 5 year prospective basis, for the, assuming the same set of business stays in the same form and shape, there's no new incremental revenue line that we we might see, or maybe a recovery on 1 or 2 products that we are not working on. So, assuming the same business stays, what should be a 3 to 5 year goal post on the growth path?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Yeah, for reasons I'm sure you'll understand, that I don't want to give specific guidance or specific ranges off the cuff, but I think, things that get us excited are obviously payment volumes in India are growing very meaningfully. So, maybe 20 - 25% year on year, relatively safely. The second is, the take rates are starting to have some levers of tailwinds. So, somebody asked earlier about RuPay on UPI. Just like that, there are several other things which are inching take rates, you know, which are putting a bottom at the take rates, but also increasing take rates. Merchants' willingness to pay for devices, and other hardware and software, is very encouraging as well. So, I think that is another monetization source. We have a whole page in the earnings release about why we are excited, particularly about the merchant payment side of the business. And then the last thing we point to, is that only about six lakh customers or merchants have taken a financial services product from us, last quarter and we're not even getting into product per customer, right, which is, I know, in financial services, something that more mature organizations track. We're just talking about the number of people who have taken at least one financial services product from us. And that is only at six lakhs, which is less than 1% of our base. Right? So clearly, there's an opportunity to distribute to more of our customers and merchant financial services. And if we're able to do a good job of that, obviously, even at less than 1% scale, it is north of ₹450 crores of revenue for us. So, if we are able to increase that penetration, by finding the right products for the right customers and having them transact on that on our platform, then there's a huge amount of high margin revenue that is available to us. So, maybe I'm sort of stating the obvious, but I think these are the 2 or 3 components which get us really excited about our business in the medium term.

**Mr. Rahul Jain:** Yeah, sure. That's quite helpful and encouraging. Thank you.

**Moderator:** Thank you. Rahul. The next question is from Jayant Kharote from Jefferies. Jayant, you may please ask your question.

**Mr. Jayant Kharote:** Thanks for the opportunity. Just one more on the DLG, if I got the construct correct, it seems that we may be starting off, on an incremental basis with a contribution margin of less than 50% on these products, incremental DLG disbursements. And then as 3 to 4 quarters sort of pass and you get the collection incentives, that can move back to 50% or even higher, and if that is the case, then does it mean for the next two, three quarters we should expect contribution margin to be nearer to the 50% mark rather than the 55% mark?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** So I agree with the first two points that you made. So, just to be clear, in the month of disbursement, the merchant loan is less than 50% margin, right. Because, we get a sourcing fee, like we've always mentioned, it's 3 to 4% and then we have an FLDG cost meaningfully greater. So we make so we benefit e.g. cost, which while we have said is less than 5%, it still means that the business is not in that month, contribution margin positive. And yes, over time, we make a lot of trail revenue, which, you know, while I was answering Rahul's question, we sort of had a directional computation on. So, overall, that business is significantly higher contribution margin. I think the point that I was making earlier is that, our FLDG cost, despite the fact that it doubled this quarter, more or less doubled this quarter because you've seen from the disbursement numbers, despite that, we were able to maintain our contribution margin. Obviously, going forward, we expect our revenue from merchant loans to grow faster than incremental FLDG, than effectively FLDG growth, because we are starting to get collection revenue from not only the old book, but also from the FLDG book. So we don't expect that incrementally from here, there should be a huge drag on contribution margin. But I just maintain the 50 to 55% range. I think there's just various factors where one shouldn't overly try to, to be precise, about quarter on quarter contribution margin trends.

**Mr. Jayant Kharote:** And I know too early on this one, but given that the DLG book has, you know, been there for five odd months, how is it behaving compared to the earlier product? And I'm asking this because in terms of incremental disbursements, almost two thirds are coming from this product. It's fair to assume that this product will become the largest part of ML disbursements going ahead.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** So, I mean, the business is effectively the same, right. Which is that merchants who display certain behavior, are whitelisted, and they can get a loan from one of our partners. The DLG book may behave the same or slightly better or slightly worse compared to the non DLG book, because of something which might be partner specific. Right. So, we have a DLG relationship with some partners but not with other partners. So, there might be certain partner specific reasons why it may behave better or worse. As it stands, our DLG book that we have done in the last five months has done, I would say, noticeably better than the non DLG book AUM that exists. Right. So it is very much on track. If anything, it is doing slightly better and we have really no cause of concern on the unit economics or the expected credit losses of that book.

**Mr. Jayant Kharote:** Thank you and congratulations for a great set of numbers.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Thanks, Jayant.

**Moderator:** Thank you Jayant. The next question is a follow up question from Pranav Gundlapalle. Pranav, you may ask your question.

**Mr. Pranav Gundlapalle:** Hey, thanks for taking my last question. The question is on personal loans. Can you just provide some color on what drives a lending partner's choice of going with a pure distribution model, versus one where you are responsible for collection? Is it simply economics, or is it risk? What drives choice?

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** I think it might, so in some cases it's just segments, Pranav. So, we have actually both models with multiple partners, right. So, we have multiple partners who will do distribution business only with us because they are underwriting them a certain way, and they believe that their existing collection infrastructure can take care of those loans. And then there are, then they'll also do collection led business, because they think that our collection capability may be much more efficient and much more effective for a certain base compared to them, sort of figuring out or adding capabilities to their other collection capabilities. So it really is an underwriting call, that you do you feel comfortable. So, we'll work with them to say there's a segment that we think we will just have you distribute loans to because you don't really need our collection capability. And there's other bases where you may be able to underwrite, give them loans because Paytm is able to collect from them.

**Mr. Pranav Gundlapalle:** Okay. So, I'm just trying to understand if we should expect a meaningful change in the mix if, let's say the operating environment improves, from here, or would it change if it deteriorates further from here? So, I guess as long as the loan mix remains where it is, we shouldn't see a big change in that mix between one versus the other.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Yeah, I think the idea is that you would go to partners and have both conversations, and I'm not quite sure, like, I'm not quite sure, we have a very strong view that let's say we're sitting here four quarters from now, and partners have more comfort on the credit cycle, will they switch more towards collection model or more towards distribution model? I don't necessarily think we have a strong view on that. But I think the idea is that can work with partners and continue to find more win-win partnerships, because our platform has a huge number of capabilities, distribution and collection and some partners.

**Mr. Vijay Shekhar Sharma:** Pranav, I also want to add that, distribution is more like when we have, extraordinary differentiation than, let's say, a Google search would have otherwise it's merely an advertisement, because you're probably practically you are trying to generate a lead and then you're giving the partner something. So, I'm personally going to say that we remain inclined towards higher margin products, and it's sort of what we are showing and seeing, even though distribution does make sense because we don't address all needs, for example, like larger ticket products, we don't put them in these categories. So, there is a fair mix of distribution and distribution plus collection. Distribution plus collection is the preference because it gets us more margin. Distribution only gets us larger money per ticket. We, in both ways, are trying to create methods or APIs between lenders and us so that it can be easier done than as neutrally third party as advertising on Google could work or some third-party place could work. What I can tell you is that we've been able to successfully create, even in distribution, the

integration, which is far easier and far better than someone else. So, distribution opens up diversity and the collection opens up high margins.

**Mr. Pranav Gundlapalle:** Understood. Thank you. Thank you very much for the answers. Thanks.

**Moderator:** Thank you Pranav. The next question is from Siddharth Gupta of Voyager Capital. Siddharth, you may please ask your question.

**Mr. Siddharth Gupta:** Hi. Thank you for the opportunity and congratulations for a great set of numbers. Most of my questions about DLG have been answered. I just wanted to have a few quick questions. First one is what is the quantum of DLG we want to meaningfully envisage over the next few quarters, we've gone from 225 to 350 just to get a ballpark figure of where we are looking at. The second more specific one is basis our previous payouts and our rough estimations. What is the rough quantum of the UPI incentive we stand to receive in the upcoming quarter? And lastly, I just wanted to understand our roadmap on three of our products, that now that we can add customers back on UPI, and we have also publicly stated a goal of going back to our Jan 2024 levels, which was, I think, around 9 or 10% of market share, where if we have a particular roadmap of how we wish to go down that route? Do we wish to take a cash back based route or do we envisage organic growth? And if you could shed some light on Paytm Money and insurance broking as a business, because we didn't delve too much into it in the release that came out. Thank you so much.

**Mr. Vijay Shekhar Sharma:** Yeah Siddharth. A lot of questions, but I'll go to the most critical one, which is the consumer growth, which is because internally we have now switched our gear towards the growth where the merchant growth and consumer growth, both are something that are very imminent and seeable. If you notice the number of consumer growth without marketing spend enhancement is very, very, very encouraging. I, in answer to a previous question, also suggested that there is an organic flywheel that we are seeing. My and our attempt would be that we continue to do product led growth instead of big bang spend money led growth. And we do believe that there is a great opportunity of product improvement and product differentiation. We have a lot in queue going ahead on the consumer side in terms of product streamlining. There were a lot of, I tweeted and I learned a lot about what is not good. And actually, we knew a lot of it. So, we were in due course waiting for different, different levels of regulatory clarity before we started to release the product. And I'm happy to say that those products lined up will give us growth or retention, better retention, better growth, better reactivation. So, that is where our primary opportunity on consumer growth will be.

Paytm Money and Insurance are still work-in-progress businesses. Paytm Money is something that where there is an attention on mutual fund distribution, because I think that mutual fund distribution is a great amount of, number of customers that we are able to sign up because of, as you are aware that, you must have seen on social media and otherwise also that there is a clarity that revenues and, trading revenues, thanks to F&O, etc have declined. So, we have followed the same trend as the market has seen. So, there is a lot to, let's say, I would say do there based on mutual funds, which is what our focus area is right now. Number of SIPs, number of mutual fund customers, that is what we are trying to say. And insurance wise it is an embedded insurance along with our health and auto insurance, which are very good bottom line contributors. So, that is what we will continue, and rest DLG again. Back to Madhur, he will answer.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** Sorry on UPI incentive, while we don't know what the exact structure will be, so it's hard to predict a number. But we do have about 15 to 20% growth in eligible GMV. And so, if you look at our last year number and going forward, and had to sort of take a ballpark guess at this number, this year's number, then it should be in that range. But I would hate to sort of just start blurting out certain numbers of what we should expect in Q4.

On DLG, Siddharth we don't really have a target number. We believe in the model and this DLG, while it is a cost up front, it is more than made up by the profitability on the merchant cash advance business or merchant loan business. So, we think it's just, in a sense, an investment into getting that profit pool. So, we don't really have a number, which is to say we'll eventually cap it at this number. Of course, the board approved numbers have been disclosed, but I would expect that the board would be constructive given the performance of this business when we go back to them for any additional approvals.

**Mr. Siddharth Gupta:** Fair enough. Thank you, Madhur, thank you, Vijay. And Vijay, that was a great initiative of tweeting for two things on product improvement. I absolutely loved it. Thank you so much.

**Moderator:** Thank you. Siddharth. We'll take two last questions, given paucity of time. Our next question will be from Rushabh Sheth. Rushabh, you may ask your question.

**Mr. Rushabh Sheth:** Hi, Vijay. Thanks for the opportunity. I just wanted to check, are there any thoughts on the wallet business? Do you guys want, at some point of time, to start redoing it? Just want to kind of get your thoughts, now that the whole Paytm Bank thing is behind us. If you have any thoughts or if you guys are planning something on that.

**Mr. Vijay Shekhar Sharma:** Yeah, we want to do it. We are waiting for Paytm Bank's final outcome and on the basis of that we'll take the next step.

**Mr. Rushabh Sheth:** Got it. And any view in terms of the timeline for this outcome?

**Mr. Vijay Shekhar Sharma:** As people who are on this side of the call, we have only actions that we can effort outcome.

**Mr. Rushabh Sheth:** Okay. All right. But based on that, you will decide how you want to go forward on that timeline.

**Mr. Vijay Shekhar Sharma:** Yes, we'll do it quickly, but it will take time.

**Mr. Rushabh Sheth:** All right. Got it. Thanks so much, Vijay.

**Moderator:** Thank you Rushabh. The next question is from Lokesh of Vallum Capital. Lokesh, you may please ask your question.

**Mr. Lokesh:** Yeah. Hi. Good evening. Just a couple of questions. One is a clarification.

**Moderator:** Lokesh sorry, you're not audible. Can you please speak closer to the mic?

**Mr. Lokesh:** Yeah. Is this better?



**Moderator:** Yeah, please. Thank you.

**Mr. Lokesh:** Yeah. Madhur, couple of questions. One is on the FLDG, if you can share AUM on the basis of which the financial services revenues are generated. Do you think that would be a better way for us to track the performance of the financial services business? That is one. Second is, hypothetically in the mid term, if you have to grow your FLDG book, would it be capped to the free cash that you are generating internally, or would you want to dig into the cash pile which is sitting in the balance sheet. So those are my two questions.

**Mr. Madhur Deora:** So, your second question, the sourcing fee that we make in the month of disbursal is really the same, generally slightly higher than the DLG cost. Right. And obviously, we make a lot more money, as trail revenue. So, we don't really, so it's not like in the month of disbursal it's negative business and we are doing this hoping that we'll be able to make up for it later and then make more money. So, that's not what is going on here. So, that constraint would be a logical constraint to put, but it's not really the case here anyway. On your first question, a majority of the revenue that we make is upfront, and, sourcing fee, at least in a non FLDG model, it was always the sourcing fee was a larger chunk. So that's why we had always pegged it to disbursal. I think we can consider whether AUM is a better number, I'm slightly skeptical, but we'll think through it. I think one of the things that we are driving at also is what is the revenue per customer that you make from the number of customers who have taken a financial service product. So, one of the ways that we have also started to look at it is you have six lakh customers taking a financial services product and you made 450 crores. So, what does that drive to long term. Of course, now some customers are higher ARPU, some products are higher ARPU, lower ARPU. But broadly speaking, the leading indicator to being able to make more financial services revenue down the road is, if more of your customers are availing it.

**Mr. Vijay Shekhar Sharma:** Yeah, I think AUM is when you are the actual book owner, which we are not, so, I would go towards per customer revenue, sort of an ARPU from financial services, and they could take any of the three services- loan, credit or insurance.

**Mr. Lokesh:** Fair enough. That's a perfect representation of the product led strategy that you're talking about. That's great. That's great. Thank you so much. Thank you.

**Moderator:** Thank you so much. With that, we come to an end of this call. A replay of this earnings call and the transcript will be made available on the company website subsequently. Thank you all for joining and you may now disconnect your lines. Thank you.

**Mr. Vijay Shekhar Sharma:** Thank you everybody.